THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

LUFTHANSA TECHNIK,

CASE NO. C17-1453-JCC

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

PANASONIC AVIONICS CORPORATION,

Respondent.

15 ||-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

This matter comes before the Court on Petitioner's statement regarding its ex parte motion for a scheduling order (Dkt. No. 23) and Respondent's response (Dkt. No. 24). Since Petitioner filed its ex parte motion, Astronics Advanced Electronic Systems Corporation has filed a motion to intervene (Dkt. No. 11). The Court will rule on the motion to intervene before the motion for a scheduling order. The Court thus DIRECTS the Clerk of Court to re note Petitioner's motion for a scheduling order (Dkt. No. 2) for November 6, 2017.

Because the Court has not ruled on Petitioner's motion for a scheduling order, it will not treat Respondent's failure to file a response to Petitioner's application for discovery in aid of foreign litigation as waiving any objections to the application.

//

//

ORDER C17-1453-JCC PAGE - 1 DATED this 2nd day of November 2017.

John C. Coughenour UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE